

REMARKS

Claims 1-95 and 98-100 are presented for prosecution. Claim 83-86, 88, 91, 93-95, 98 and 99 are amended. Claims 96 and 97 are cancelled.

Claims 1-82 are allowed. Applicants thank the Examiner for allowance of these claims.

Claim 83 was objected to, for apparently omitting step d in its list of method steps. Applicants thank the Examiner for noting this oversight, and have amended claim 83 to identify the recited method steps in sequence from "step a" to "step f". Consequently, claims 84, 85, 86, 88, 91, 93, 94, 95, and 100 are amended to maintain a proper antecedent basis with amended claim 83.

Claims 97-99 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicants thank the Examiner, and have incorporated all the limitations of claim 97 and intervening claim 96 into their base claim 83, which is believed to now be in condition for allowance. Claims 97 and 96 are subsequently cancelled.

Claims 84-95 and 100 are believed to now be in condition for allowance based at least on the allowability of their base claim 83.

Additionally, claims 98 and 99 are rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of their respective base claim 83 and intervening claim 96. Claims 98 and 99 are believed to now be in condition for allowance.

Lastly, Pages 13 and 14 of the Specification are amended to correct two misidentified reference characters.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,



Rosalio Haro

Registration No. 42,633

Please address all correspondence to:

Epson Research and Development, Inc.
Intellectual Property Department
150 River Oaks Parkway, Suite 225
San Jose, CA 95134
Phone: (408) 952-6000
Facsimile: (408) 954-9058
Customer No. 20178

Date: June 29, 2005